



Matthews Asia Perspective

Our Latest Views on the U.S.–China Trade Dispute

Risk Considerations

- ✿ Investment involves risk. Past performance is not a guide to future performance. It is possible to lose the principal capital of your investment.
- ✿ The Fund invests primarily in India-related companies. Investments in such companies may be subject to increased risks such as political, tax, economic, policy, market, liquidity, trading, custody and settlement, currency, legal and regulatory risks.
- ✿ The Fund invests primarily in equity securities, which may result in increased volatility.
- ✿ The Fund may invest in smaller companies which are likely to carry higher risks than larger companies.
- ✿ The Fund does not hedge to attempt to offset certain market risks. This may expose the Fund to the risk of full losses resulting from a decline in a security's value.
- ✿ Investors should not invest in the Fund solely based on the information in this document.

Trade concerns are weighing on investor sentiment, which impacts stock prices, but the underlying fundamentals for China remains strong. In this note, members of the Matthews Asia investment team explain why the trade dispute may have a small impact on the long-term investment environment.



Andy Rothman
Investment Strategist
Matthews Asia

In my December issue of *Sinology* (“A Truce Over Steak and Malbec”) I wrote that “prospects for real progress on substantive issues with China are now better than at any point in the Trump administration,” because Trump recognized that “a trade war with America’s largest trading partner would damage the U.S. economy and equity markets, and thus the president’s re-election chances.”

The ensuing 11 rounds of negotiations over five months appeared to be on track for a deal—until they weren’t. The president tweeted in early May that “China should not renegotiate deals with the U.S. at the last minute.”

But I remain optimistic, based on my view that Trump continues to believe that his re-election prospects are best served by a deal.

At a late-May press conference in Tokyo, Trump responded to a question by saying that although China “would like to make a deal ... We’re not ready to make a deal.” A moment later, however, he added, “But with all of that being said, I think that there’s a very good chance that the United States and China will have a very good trade deal.”

Trump and Chinese leader Xi Jinping are scheduled to meet again in late June at the G-20 summit in Osaka, and we are likely to have more visibility at that time.

Not Just About Trade

Far more than trade will be on the table when the two leaders next meet. Trump will have to accept that the U.S. must share economic and strategic power with a rising China, while continuing to take steps to help shape how Beijing uses its influence. Washington will also have to accept that while the past three decades of economic engagement have promoted significant change within China—from no private sector, to an economy where 85% of urban employment is with small, entrepreneurial firms; accompanied by a broad expansion of personal freedom—fundamental changes to China’s political structure cannot be dictated by outsiders, but are very likely to evolve as the country becomes wealthier.

The Xi administration will have to accept that along with its professed desire to use its rising power within the existing global infrastructure, comes a responsibility to follow the rules of that system and to be transparent. Xi will also have to accept that his policies have consequences outside of China, and take responsibility for them. For example, just as the U.S. had to consider the impact of China’s new WTO commitments in the 1990s on its then-impoverished northeastern rust belt, Beijing must deal responsibly with the impact of its industrial policies on employment in developed countries.

In short, the two leaders will have to agree that rising competition between the two nations does not have to be a zero-sum game, and that it is cooperation and concessions, rather than confrontation, that will leave both sides better off.

In more practical terms, this will require Xi to agree to give American and other foreign firms the same market access that domestic firms receive, and to strengthen protection for intellectual property for all companies. Xi will also have to stop his security services from stealing foreign technology and handing it to Chinese companies.

At the same time, Trump will have to abandon his misguided focus on the bilateral trade deficit and take a more rational approach to issues such as visas for Chinese students and researchers in the U.S.

Remember the Rebalancing

While we wait for Trump to decide if he does in fact wish to close a deal with Xi, we should keep in mind that the Chinese economy is no longer export-driven, so the impact of tariffs is limited.

Net exports (the value of a country's exports minus the value of its imports) account for less than 1% of China's GDP, down from a peak of 9% in 2007. In seven of the last 10 years, net exports were a negative drag on economic growth. By contrast, domestic consumption now accounts for more than two-thirds of China's economic growth and more than half of its GDP.

Last year, Chinese exports to the U.S. accounted for only 19% of total Chinese exports, limiting significantly the impact of new tariffs applied only by the U.S. Moreover, several studies by economists in the U.S. have concluded that most or all of the burden of the tariffs now in place have been borne by American consumers. Economists at the New York Fed, for example, found a "complete pass-through into domestic prices of imports, which means that Chinese exporters did not reduce their prices. Hence, U.S. domestic prices at the border have risen one-for-one with the tariffs levied."

Even if some of additional tariffs are absorbed by exporters in China, it is worth noting that much of that impact will not fall on Chinese companies, as about two-thirds of the 25 largest exporting companies based in China are foreign-owned.

It is also clear that Xi's government will step in to provide financial aid to companies that are hurt by any Trump tariffs. Despite a tax cut of almost US\$200 billion last year, China's fiscal revenue increased 6% compared to 2017. Beijing has the resources, as well as the political will, to support its exporters, just as it did a decade ago during the Global Financial Crisis (GFC).

Even without a deal, China is likely to remain the world's best consumer story. Remember that last year, inflation-adjusted household income growth of 6.5% (vs. 2.2% in the U.S.) led to real retail sales growth of 6.9% (vs. 2.4% in the U.S.)



Andrew Mattock
Portfolio Manager
Matthews Asia

Matthews Asia Outlook

Trade conflicts between China and the U.S. have been an overhang for global equity markets for the past year and a half. Market observers worry that trade disputes could severely impact business environments in China. Over the short term, we may see potential supply chain disruptions as local businesses react to changes given political uncertainties and increased market volatility arising from the trade conflicts. We remain optimistic on the longer term opportunity set in China and are focused on investing in growth opportunities that benefit from the rise of China's domestic economy over the next decade.

China today also relies mostly on internal sources for growth and this can be seen from its services economy, which now contributes more than half of its GDP. As a result, the country no longer relies on trade as a source of growth and has other ways to spur domestic consumption and demand. As compared with a year ago, China has now completed its financial de-risking campaign and stands on stronger footing economically to deal with these uncertainties. While China is not shielded completely from external forces, we believe it will be able to weather most of the negative implications of global politics. Still, a full-blown trade war would be detrimental not only to both parties but also to the global economy. Accordingly, we believe it is in the interest of both the U.S. and China to eventually reach a deal.

Overall, we continue to invest according to our long-term beliefs that China’s domestic economy remains healthy, and that there are secular growth opportunities in both China’s new and old economy sectors that stand to benefit from the rising levels of affluence among domestic consumers.



Tiffany Hsiao
Portfolio Manager
Matthews Asia

Matthews China Small Companies Strategy

U.S.–China trade tensions should, in our view, have little impact on China’s smaller companies given their domestic focus. Our China Small Companies Strategy seeks innovative and capital-efficient small companies that are relatively insulated from macroeconomic uncertainties. As long-term investors, we look for secular growth opportunities that we believe may be relatively immune to tariffs and trade issues.

Our portfolio generally tends to have a consistent overweight allocation in the information technology and health care sectors, as well as selective businesses within the industrials sector. We will continue to seek companies with sustainable, quality earnings streams, strong cash flows and good balance sheets that can weather uncertain economic conditions.

From a macroeconomic perspective, we continue to believe China has the ability to stabilize its economy through fiscal spending, interest rate adjustments and currency management. In addition, steps taken to correct China’s structural issues are continuing on the right track, despite the near-term pains of a deleveraging economy. We are focused on seeking innovative and capital-efficient small companies that are relatively insulated from macroeconomic uncertainties. We will continue to seek companies with sustainable, quality earnings streams, strong cash flows and good balance sheets that can weather uncertain economic conditions. We believe sectors such as industrial automation, health care and technology are among the most attractive from a secular growth perspective. We remain cautiously optimistic about China’s small-cap market amid heightened market volatility as we focus rigorously on the sound fundamentals of our portfolio companies.



Sherwood Zhang
Portfolio Manager
Matthews Asia

Matthews China Dividend Strategy

Our Matthews China Dividend Strategy focuses on Chinese firms selling goods and services to Chinese consumers, not on exports to the U.S., and firms that have a significant production base outside of China. We expect the outcome of the trade dispute, therefore, would not likely have a significant effect on earnings for our portfolio companies. The impact to consumer wealth may be relatively small, but consumer sentiment is a factor, as it may dampen consumption, but this should be a short-term factor. This may be offset in part by a lowering of value-added taxes (VATs) for manufacturing and cuts in personal income taxes, both of which should provide a boost for the domestic consumption companies that dominate the portfolio.

As portfolio managers, we position for the growth in consumer-related industries, including financials, health care and consumer staples. If weakness persists in the short term, we believe the portfolio has the right balance between more defensive, high dividend-yielding stocks and exposure to dividend growth companies. Any sell-off would increase the attractiveness of companies that can sustain and grow their earnings and dividends in this environment. We will continue to seek these types of compelling opportunities. We believe China already has transformed its economy into one led by consumption rather than export-driven growth.

We also are cautiously optimistic about a resolution to the trade dispute. China is continuing to grow its services sector and move away from traditional industries, leaving the economy less affected by exports, and the long-term growth story in China continues to center on how well it can manage its domestic economy.



Sharat Shroff
Portfolio Manager
Matthews Asia

Matthews Pacific Tiger Strategy

Chinese businesses represent roughly 40% of the Matthews Asia Funds Pacific Tiger Fund. The Strategy looks to invest in companies across Asia that cater to domestic demand in the region. As a result, the Strategy's direct exposure to the export sector should be very limited and the U.S.–China trade dispute is likely to have limited direct impact on the portfolio.

We generally look to invest in companies that can increase profit and cash flow, and benefit from evolving middle-class aspirations. Our bottom-up selection of businesses leads us to maintain overweight allocations in the consumer and health care sectors. These segments are helped by continuing productivity improvement and a related rise in income levels in Asia, and are less exposed to the sharp ups and downs of an export cycle. Our view is that China's economic and policy moves in recent years have helped established a sustainable middle class in the country that drives domestic consumption. We believe the trade dispute is unlikely to significantly alter this long-term trend.

To be sure, daily headlines around trade talks could have an impact on sentiment. If weak sentiment persists, consumer spending could weaken in the short term and there could be an earnings pullback in consumer and cyclical stocks and further bouts of market volatility. Looking ahead, however, policymakers in China have signaled expectations for healthy, but slightly slower, growth. In fact, these developments may further push policymakers to drive China's growth from domestic demand and the services sectors. We remain optimistic in our outlook for Asian equities over a full market cycle. Overall, we have a positive outlook for the broader Asian region, especially given a changed stance in Chinese policy vs. last year that indicates a willingness by policymakers to provide more fiscal and monetary support. We also are open-minded as we wait to see how policymakers address the trade dispute. Possible outcomes might include further opening of China's capital markets, which would present more opportunities to find attractive investments..



Sriyan Pietersz
Investment Strategist
Matthews Asia

Impact on South and Southeast Asia

Chinese businesses represent roughly 40% of the Matthews Asia Funds Pacific Tiger Fund. The Strategy looks to invest in companies across Asia that cater to domestic demand in the region. As a result, the Strategy's direct exposure to the export sector should be very limited and the U.S.–China trade dispute is likely to have limited direct impact on the portfolio.

We generally look to invest in companies that can increase profit and cash flow, and benefit from evolving middle-class aspirations. Our bottom-up selection of businesses leads us to maintain overweight allocations in the consumer and health care sectors. These segments are helped by continuing productivity improvement and a related rise in income levels in Asia, and are less exposed to the sharp ups and downs of an export cycle. Our view is that China's economic and policy moves in recent years have helped established a sustainable middle class in the country that drives domestic consumption. We believe the trade dispute is unlikely to significantly alter this long-term trend.

To be sure, daily headlines around trade talks could have an impact on sentiment. If weak sentiment persists, consumer spending could weaken in the short term and there could be an earnings pullback in consumer and cyclical stocks and further bouts of market volatility. Looking ahead, however, policymakers in China have signaled expectations for healthy, but slightly slower, growth. In fact, these developments may further push policymakers to drive China's growth from domestic demand and the services sectors. We remain optimistic in our outlook for Asian equities over a full market cycle. Overall, we have a positive outlook for the broader Asian region, especially given a changed stance in Chinese policy vs. last year that indicates a willingness by policymakers to provide more fiscal and monetary support. We also are open-minded as we wait to see how policymakers address the trade dispute. Possible outcomes might include further opening of China's capital markets, which would present more opportunities to find attractive investments.

Sources: Reuters; Bloomberg; CEIC; Federal Reserve Bank of New York; Sina.com; Xinhua News Agency; FactSet; MSCI; Matthews Asia

Disclosures

The Funds mentioned herein are sub-funds of Matthews Asia Funds, an open end umbrella fund with variable capital and incorporated with limited liability under the laws of Luxembourg. NOT FOR SALE IN THE U.S. OR TO U.S. PERSONS. This document does not constitute or contain an offer, solicitation or investment advice with respect to the purchase of the Fund described herein or any security. This information is not to be construed as a prospectus, a public offering or an offering memorandum as defined under applicable securities legislation.

Matthews Asia is the brand for Matthews International Capital Management, LLC and its direct and indirect subsidiaries.

The information contained herein has been derived from sources believed to be reliable and accurate at the time of compilation, however, no representation or warranty (express or implied) is made, including, without limitation, that the information is complete or timely. Matthews Asia and its affiliates do not accept any liability for losses either direct or consequential caused by the use of this information. Information contained herein is sourced from Matthews Asia and as of the report date unless otherwise stated.

The views and information discussed herein are as of the report date, are subject to change and may not reflect current views. The views expressed represent an assessment of market conditions at a specific point in time, are opinions only and should not be relied upon as investment advice regarding a particular investment or markets in general. Such information does not constitute a recommendation to buy or sell specific securities or investment vehicles.

Investors should carefully consider the investment objectives, risks, charges and expenses of the Fund before making an investment decision. The current prospectus, Supplement for Hong Kong Investors, Product Key Facts Statements (“Hong Kong Offering Document”) or other offering documents contain this and other information and can be obtained by visiting hk.matthewsasia.com. Please read the Hong Kong Offering Document or other offering documents carefully for details including risk factors before investing. Prospective investors should consult professional legal, tax and financial advisers as to the suitability of any investment in light of your particular circumstances and applicable citizenship, residence or domicile. Fees and expenses vary among Funds and share classes. Portfolio characteristics for the Fund may vary from time to time from what is shown.

This document is issued by Matthews Global Investors (Hong Kong) Limited and has not been reviewed by the Securities and Futures Commission in Hong Kong.